

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. **10/578,676**
Response filed March 3, 2009
Reply to OA dated November 14, 2008

REMARKS:

Claims 1-11 are currently pending, of which claims 1, 9, and 10 have been amended herein.

1. The Examiner has objected to claim 10 for a noted informality.

Claim 10 has been amended herein in a manner intended to overcome this objection. Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that this objection to claim 10 should be withdrawn.

2. The Examiner has rejected claims 1-11 under U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0023685 (**Nakamura '685**) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0198458 (**Kawamura '458**).

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection, for the following reasons.

Nakamura '685 and **Kawamura '458**, alone or in combination, fail to describe, teach, or suggest the following combination of features as set forth in claim 1, as amended: "determine whether a size of an image being displayed on the first display screen is larger than a size of the second display screen," in combination with the other claimed features.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. **10/578,676**
Response filed March 3, 2009
Reply to OA dated November 14, 2008

Nakamura '685 and **Kawamura '458**, alone or in combination, fail to describe, teach, or suggest the following combination of features as set forth in claim 9, as amended: "determining whether a size of an image being displayed on the first display screen is larger than a size of the second display screen," in combination with the other claimed features.

Nakamura '685 and **Kawamura '458**, alone or in combination, fail to describe, teach, or suggest the following combination of features as set forth in claim 10, as amended: "determining whether a size of an image being displayed on the first display screen is larger than a size of the second display screen," in combination with the other claimed features.

In view of the amendments and remarks above, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection of claims 1, 9, and 10 should be withdrawn. It is submitted that this rejection of claims 2-8 and 11 should be withdrawn by virtue of their dependency.

3. The Examiner has rejected claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0023685 (**Nakamura '685**) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0198458 (**Kawamura '458**) and U.S. Patent No. 5,947,619 (**Kurashina '619**).

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection, for the following reasons.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/578,676
Response filed March 3, 2009
Reply to OA dated November 14, 2008

Kurashina '619 fails to remedy the above-discussed deficiencies of **Nakamura '685** and **Kawamura '458**.

Nakamura '685, **Kawamura '458**, and **Kurashina '619**, alone or in combination, fail to describe, teach, or suggest the following combination of features as set forth in claim 1, as amended: "determine whether a size of an image being displayed on the first display screen is larger than a size of the second display screen," in combination with the other claimed features.

Accordingly, in view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection of claim 5 should be withdrawn by virtue of its dependency.

4. The Examiner has rejected claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0023685 (**Nakamura '685**) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0198458 (**Kawamura '458**) and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0058715 (**Taniguchi '715**).

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection, for the following reasons.

Taniguchi '715 fails to remedy the above-discussed deficiencies of **Nakamura '685** and

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. **10/578,676**
Response filed March 3, 2009
Reply to OA dated November 14, 2008

Kawamura '458.

Nakamura '685, Kawamura '458, and Taniguchi '715, alone or in combination, fail to describe, teach, or suggest the following combination of features as set forth in claim 1, as amended:
"determine whether a size of an image being displayed on the first display screen is larger than a size of the second display screen," in combination with the other claimed features.

Accordingly, in view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection of claim 7 should be withdrawn by virtue of its dependency.

5. The Examiner has rejected claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0023685 (**Nakamura '685**) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0198458 (**Kawamura '458**), U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0058715 (**Taniguchi '715**), and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0116167 (**Okuzako '167**).

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection, for the following reasons.

Taniguchi '715 and Okuzako '167 fail to remedy the above-discussed deficiencies of **Nakamura '685 and Kawamura '458**.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. **10/578,676**
Response filed March 3, 2009
Reply to OA dated November 14, 2008

Nakamura '685, Kawamura '458, Taniguchi '715, and Okuzako '167, alone or in combination, fail to describe, teach, or suggest the following combination of features as set forth in claim 1, as amended: "determine whether a size of an image being displayed on the first display screen is larger than a size of the second display screen," in combination with the other claimed features.

Accordingly, in view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection of claim 8 should be withdrawn by virtue of its dependency.

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/578,676
Response filed March 3, 2009
Reply to OA dated November 14, 2008

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP



Darren Crew
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 37,806

DC/llf

Atty. Docket No. 060297
Suite 400
1420 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 659-2930



23850

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE